

# OPEN HOUSE FEEDBACK FORM



Thank you for your participation at the Athalmer Neighbourhood Plan Open House. Please complete the following survey to have your say.

**1. Overall Impression: Are you supportive of the new public lands concept?**

- (a) Yes
- (b) Yes, with some minor changes
- (c) Somewhat, with major changes
- (d) No

**2. Boat access and usage is anticipated to continue on the site. I am:**

- (a) Supportive of the proposed improvements.
- (b) Supportive, but caution against expanding boat usage.
- (c) Somewhat supportive. Boat usage should be expanded beyond the current facilities.
- (d) Somewhat supportive. Boat usage should be reduced from current levels.
- (e) Not supportive. Boat usage should be eliminated from this site.

**3. Marina Improvements: The current concept proposes a multi-use marina for boat access management, tourist information, community rooms, and limited food and beverage service. I am:**

- (a) Supportive of the current concept.
- (b) Supportive of the current concept with minor revisions.
- (c) Somewhat supportive. The existing marina should be updated but not expanded.
- (d) Somewhat supportive. We should consider a more extensive marina than proposed.
- (e) Not supportive. There should not be a marina.

**4. Marina Improvements: The current concept proposes limited food and beverage service at the marina. I am:**

- (a) Supportive of the current concept.
- (b) Supportive of the current concept with minor revisions.
- (c) Somewhat supportive - there should be more food and beverage service.
- (d) Not supportive. There should not be any food and beverage service.

**5. Parking: As a newly redeveloped attraction, parking will be needed for most users. The current concept proposes a small, landscaped lot with additional on-street spaces. I am:**

- (a) Supportive of the current concept.
- (b) Supportive of the current concept with minor revisions.
- (c) Somewhat supportive but prefer parking in a different location.
- (d) Not supportive. There should be less parking on the site.

- 6. Marshlands: The current concept proposes an extensive constructed wetland integrated with interpretive boardwalks and public art. I am:**
- (a) Supportive of the current concept.
  - (b) Supportive of the current concept with minor revisions.
  - (c) Somewhat supportive. There should be less human interaction with the new wetlands.
  - (d) Not supportive of the proposed concept.
- 7. Amphitheatre: The current concept proposes a naturalistic amphitheatre, cookhouse, sitting areas, and small performance stage. I am:**
- (a) Supportive of the current concept.
  - (b) Supportive of the current concept with minor revisions.
  - (c) Somewhat supportive. The amphitheatre should be more extensive.
  - (d) Somewhat supportive. The amphitheatre should be less extensive.
  - (e) Not supportive of an amphitheatre.
- 8. Boardwalk: A shoreline boardwalk is proposed. I am:**
- (a) Supportive of the current concept.
  - (b) Supportive of the current concept with minor revisions.
  - (c) Somewhat supportive. The boardwalk should be located on a different part of the site.
  - (d) Not supportive of a boardwalk.
- 9. 9. Eco-village: Limited tourist accommodations are proposed at the northeast corner of the site. Development of this site can help offset other site improvements and provide additional amenities. I am:**
- (a) Supportive of the current concept.
  - (b) Supportive of the current concept with minor revisions.
  - (c) Somewhat supportive. There should be more resort uses on the site.
  - (d) Not supportive. There should be less resort uses on this site.
- 10. 10. Eco-village: The resort uses include minor food and beverage and lake-oriented retail. I am:**
- (a) Supportive of the current concept.
  - (b) Supportive of the current concept with minor revisions.
  - (c) Somewhat supportive. Food and beverage, and retail uses should be more extensive.
  - (d) Not supportive. Food and beverage, and retail uses should be eliminated.
- 11. Street Improvements: The current concept proposes “light touch” street improvements with low impact/ gravel parking lanes, sidewalks, decorative lighting and street trees. I am:**
- (a) Supportive of the current concept.
  - (b) Supportive of the current concept with minor revisions.
  - (c) Somewhat supportive. There should be less extensive improvements.
  - (d) Somewhat supportive. There should be more extensive improvements.

**12. Project Costs: The public currently carries the cost of the public lands site purchase. Further improvements will require additional funding. Please indicate which funding models you agree with.**

(a) Future improvements should be paid through further public levies as part of a phased approach, offset where possible by other sources when available.

yes       no

(b) The costs of future improvements should be offset by the development of the proposed resort lands (other sources will likely still be needed).

yes       no

(c) Funding for public improvements should not come from additional levies and should only consider other sources (grants, provincial funding, etc.).

yes       no